2021-08-10 Meeting notes

Date

10 Aug 2021

Attendees

- Chloe McLaren
- Liz Parker
- Jasmine Burns
- Dianne Dietrich
- Jenn Colt

Goals

- We went on pandemic-induced hiatus last year. let's set some goals.

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
	Co-chairs	Chloe & Liz	usually we would've selected a new co-chair of this committee last spring and I would cycle off, but we were on a pandemic-related functional hiatus last year, and we didn't select anyone. Should Liz and I stay on until the end of the '21-'22 school year? Does someone want to step in? Decision: Liz & Chloe stay on
	Events - general	all	Do you have something you'd like to present or learn about? Do you get frequent help requests about the same topic? What can we plan for this year? Liz: survey CUL to find out about what metadata was worked on, by whom, for how long, etc during the pandemic. Also how did people work on workflows? Liz will draft (sometime around next Friday), send for review & Dianne will make survey, Chloe will test. and then we can send out. ⭐ for survey planning see: Metadata during WfH survey Inclusive design task force? announce? present? etc? Welcome back to metadata event or email blast? Kind of a roundup from the past year? ⭐ can this be folded into survey launch? Accessibility? schema standards? Jas. working on image cataloging. Chloe interested in working with MARC cataloging crew on accessibility-related cataloging. Liz & Jenn point out that this is perhaps better suited as a pilot project. Liz is interested in Open refine workshop for the spring ("Refine your OpenRefine skills!") Perhaps Julia would be willing to lead carpentries/train the trainer style?
	Events - Software Preservation Network	Dianne	Live (or recorded) demo of software emulation - access available through the end of the year. present as part of pitch to retain support. -emulate WordPerfect? -happen in Sept/Aug? ⭐ For draft early fall schedule see Early Fall 2021 proposed schedule

	Inclusive Description Task Force	Liz	a brief rundown on the work the work the TF is doing, and how MWG might intersect.
			Dianne & Jasmine, both leading sub-groups. Julia & Katerina both also involved.
			outputs (so far)
			• annotated bibliography on inclusive description, ethical cat. , reparative description, etc.
			• definition of inclusive description (a short public version & longer internal version)
			• should there be an advisory board? either hire a consultant OR have a very time-limited paid board. (currently tabled)
			• work with CDExec subgroup wrt how to describe collection content & sources,
			Current subgroups
			• Past practice to best practice: future-facing workflows to improve description practice
			• Languages: improve the way relationship to languages is described
			• Content warning/content statement: Find where these exist currently & come up with a decision tree to helpcreate statements where needed
			• Controlled Vocabs: what other controlled vocabs could we use to increase representation & better suit our collections & users?
			Jenn posits that the inclusive description definition should be more public, perhaps on the collections part of the CUL homepage.
			Perhaps a co-sponsored event in the spring to present results (or ongoing work) from the subcommittees? Liz will email Jason to talk about next steps, etc.
			Could ask Laura, Margaret & Jackie Magagnosc to present on their article about 'Changing the Subject'

Action items

- [ ]