

RepoExec members survey fall 2018

	ITEM	MENTIONS	TIMEFRAME	COMMENTS
A	Consolidate platforms / Samvera decision / exit bepress / migrate legacy collections / sunset systems	13	1, 3	When broken down into A1=consolidate platforms/sunset systems, A2=Samvera decision, A3=exit bepress, A4= migrate legacy collections, tally become A1=5, A2=6, A3=1, A4=3 (wak)
B	Service management / role clarity / appropriate staffing level	9	1, 3	See also I, below
C	Complete and public-facing policies, contact information	7	1, 3	Some suggest consistency across repositories. One specific mention of consistent collection policies. See also I, below
D	Develop/define CUL-wide repository strategy; clear purpose for each repo; clarify place of repositories in CUL priorities	5	1, 3	Don't base on CU/CUL org structure
E	Ensure preservation of repository content	5	1, 3	
G	Improve discovery (and interoperability to support it)	4	1, 3	Incl one comment that we should reduce multiple deposit
F	Participate in selected open sources communities	2	3	Presumes some consolidation of platforms
H	Evaluate / explore existing and potential external partnerships and shared repos (e.g. BHL, Internet Archive, other)	2	1, 3	
I	Adopt / implement repository principles document across CUL	2	1	See also B, C above

SINGLE MENTIONS

- RepoExec should meet less frequently
- Support for large (>3GB) datasets in eCommons (i.e. Globus)
- Greater transparency on part of RepoExec, especially for liaisons
- Complete documentation for all repositories
- Define "metadata of record," in particular to support movement of content from one repo to another
- Clarify repository landscape (support staff understanding of it)
- Clarify whether collection and deposit of Cornell faculty papers is a priority (and if it is, staff appropriately)
- Better communication among repository staff to share ideas, challenges, goals, etc.
- PR / marketing support for repositories to improve visibility
- Improve or modernize feature set in repositories; better support for customization
- If supporting OJS, need to provision for service. Possible CUL-CUP partnership? Possible consolidation of existing pubs?
- Update repository inventory

PRIORITIZING

- Day to day work experience / pain points
- Previous RepoExec discussions
- Issues of technical debt, need to consolidate platforms
- 1-year: things that can be done with current knowledge and resources
- Funders' public access requirements (for data)
- Lifecycle and longer-term thinking
- Desire to break down siloes

OTHER COMMENTS

- RepoExec underutilized / out of the loop on discussions, decision-making. Bypassed and pther repository-related groups formed, or decisions about repos are made elsewhere. Instead this group could fulfill that role.
- Convening service managers to establish more uniform practices could be useful
- Lack of authority means RepoExec can't do much; demoralizing
- Suggestion that membership skews too much towards "top level," should include more functional experts
- Need to hear CUL/UL commitment regarding repositories

HOW RESPONSES WERE TABULATED

- Repetition of the same idea within a single survey response was only counted once.
- Where multiple/similar ideas were combined into a single item in the table above, if the response included two or more different elements of the consolidated item, each element was counted.
- Responses tabulated: 14.