

Fall 2010 Foam Filtration Final Report

Foam Filtration Final Report Fall 2010

Primary Authors: Catherine Hanna, Rachel Philipson, Melissa Shinbein, Kevin Wong

Primary Editor: Rachel Philipson

AguaClara Reflection Report

Cornell University

School of Civil & Environmental Engineering

Ithaca, NY 14853-3501

Date Submitted: 03/12/2010

Date Revised: 08/12/2010

Abstract

The objective of the foam filtration team is to develop a point-of-use foam filter that can reduce water turbidity to meet EPA standards. The team has focused a lot of its efforts, especially during the beginning of the semester, on designing different aspects of the filter, considering different scenarios where the filter can be used (see Reflection Reports #2 and 3). This semester, the team is submitting an application to the EPA P3 competition; the application is currently being edited and should be completed by the end of the Fall 2010 semester.

Once the experimental apparatus was assembled, the team began gathering physical data on the performance of foam in the filter. Initial head loss measurements through the foam have been completed (see Reflection Report #3), but the head loss over time and the measured head loss that causes compression are in the process of being determined. As for future work, we will layer foam of varying porosities to achieve a more uniform particle removal over the depth of the filter since clay particles are currently being trapped in the uppermost layers of the foam. The foam will also be tested under varying influent turbidities to determine the range of turbidities that the material can effectively filter.

Introduction

After
foam
filtrati
on
was
ruled
out as
a
possibl
e
filtrati
on
metho
d for
an
AguaC
lara
plant,
researc
h was
directe
d
toward
s
designi
ng a
small
scale,
point
of use
filter
utilizin
g
polyur
ethane
foam.
Our
work
for the
semest
er has
consist
ed of
both
design
and
experi
mentat
ion. Fi
rst, the

foam
filtrati
on
team
has
been
workin
g to
design
a
point
of use
filter
that
will
be
constru
cted in
future
semest
ers. M
any
modifi
cations
to the
design
have
been
made
over
the
course
of the
semest
er in
order
to
make
the
design
more
user-
friendl
y. Add
itionall
y, the
team
conduc
ted
several


experi
ments
to
further
underst
and
the
filtrati
on
propert
ies of
foam.
We
determ
ined
the
head
loss
throug
h the
foam
with
clean
water
runnin
g
throug
h it
and
the
head
loss
over
an
experi
mental
run.
We
then
began
layerin
g
foam
porosit
ies in
order
to
optimi
ze the
layerin

g to
get the
best
filter
perform-
ance.


**With
this
greater
under-
standin-
g of
how
foam
filtrati-
on
works
throug-
h
experi-
menta-
tion,
our
team
will
be
able
to
design
an
optimi-
zed
point
of use
foam
filtrati-
on
unit.**

Experimental Design

Over the course of the semester, several experiments were conducted. Each used the same experimental apparatus, some with slight modifications. The first experiment we conducted determined the head loss through the foam with clean water running through it. While most of our experimental data is collected using process controller, we decided not to use the computer for this experiment. Previous work had indicated that head loss through the foam was almost insignificant, meaning that the noise from a pressure sensor reading would be significant compared to the head loss measurement. We therefore decided to measure the head loss visually (see Reflection Report #3). This was done by setting the outlet height at a specific point and marking the equilibrium point of water in the foam column. The pump was then turned on and provided a constant flow velocity of 4 mm/s. When the water height came to equilibrium, the difference between the original and new water height corresponded to the head loss in the foam and filter column. The same experiment was conducted with no foam in the column and the two values were subtracted to determine the head loss in the foam. This test was run with both 60 and 90 ppi foam. 'Ppi' stands for pores per inch and represents the porosity of the foam. Lower ppi foam will have a higher porosity.



The second round of experiments utilized the full experimental apparatus (see Reflection Report #1). Temperature controlled water was dosed with kaolin clay to provide a specific influent turbidity (5 NTU). The influent water was then dosed with alum and then pumped into the filter column at a flow velocity of 4 mm/s. Because we are using a peristaltic pump, it is necessary to use a flow accumulator to lessen the pulsing effect of the pump and provide a more constant flow rate to the filter. The experimental apparatus is a downflow filter which is representative of what the actual point of use filter will be. A one inch foam column is used and foam depth for all experiments was 10 inches; this was achieved by using 10- 1 inch foam slices. Effluent turbidity was monitored along with the pressure difference across the foam column.



The first set of experiments run using this experimental set up was to monitor the head loss across the foam filter (see Reflection Report #5). At failure, the foam collapses and we wanted to measure the head loss as a function of run time until collapse occurred. It was important that no


air bubbles were in the system to get an accurate pressure reading, which was difficult due to dissolved air in the water as the weather got colder. Tests were run using both 60 and 90 ppi foam, however the foam never collapsed because air bubble buildup occurred in all experiments. Because of the air bubble buildup, these experiments must be rerun in order to obtain accurate results.

Th
e
sec
ond
set
of
exp
eri
me
nts
con
sid
ere
d
the
lay
eri
ng
of
foa
m
por
osit
y.
We
hop
e
tha
t
by
doi
ng
this
we
can
im
pro
ve
filt
er
per
for
ma
nce
by
eit
her
lo
we
rin

g
effl
uen
t
tur
bid
ity
or
by
ext
end
ing
the
tim
e
the
filt
er
can
run
and
pro
duc
e
effl
uen
t
tur
bid
itie
s
bel
ow
0.3
NT
U.
Th
e
firs
t
test
tha
t
wa
s
run
con
sist
ed
of

3
inc
hes
of
90
ppi
foa
m
on
the
bot
to
m,
the
n 5
inc
hes
of
60
ppi
foa
m
and
2
inc
hes
of
30
ppi
foa
m.
Ba
sed
on
res
ults
fro
m
this
test
,
dis
cus
sed
in
the
the
*Res
ults
and
Dis*

cus
sion
sec
tio
n
bel
ow,
the
lay
eri
ng
wa
s
slig
htl
y
alte
red
in
ord
er
to
fin
d
the
opt
im
al
lay
eri
ng,
if
suc
h a
lay
eri
ng
exi
sts.



apparus. Temperature controlled water ensured that differences in temperature would not affect our results.

All experiments utilized temperature controlled water that is stored in a distribution tank separate from the experimental apparatus.

Results and Discussion

The Foam Filtration team has spent much time designing a point-of-use filtration unit. The unit is designed so that the dimensions can be linearly scaled up or down to successfully filter enough water for a given amount of people. Our group has documented the five different cases for which our point-of-use can be used (see Table 1).

Table 1. Different cases for point-of-use filtration unit design

Case	Family Unit with Water Distribution System	Family Unit without Water Distribution System	Apartment Building with Water Distribution System	Business / School with Water Distribution System (without shower)	Small Village without Water Distribution System (only drinking)

Number of Expected People Using the Filter	7 people	7 people	50 people	50 people	200 people
Average Water Consumption per Person per Day	120 L/day	50 L/day	120 L/day	40-45 L/day	3 L/day




Figure 1. Sample sketch of current filter column

For the cases with a water distribution system, the filtration unit will be directly connected to a tap, while for these cases without water distribution system, a holding tank will be necessary and the filter unit can then be attached by plastic tubing. The filter column itself

will consist of one inch stacked layers of foam to produce a foam depth of ten inches total. Two-inch spacing in the column n above the foam is anticipated (see Figure 1) as this is expected to give the influent water a sufficient amount of volume to distribute itself

evenly
over
the
foam
layers
and to
avoid
a
concentration
of
flow
though
the
center
of the
foam,
as
would
happen
if
there
was
zero
spacing.
For
more
information,
see
Reflection
Report
2.

We
recentl
y
change
d the
design
of our
unit in
that
we are
now
going
to use
the
exit
tubing
height
as a
metho
d of
both
combat
ing
the
head
loss
that
will
occur
though
the
filter
as
well
as to
control
the
approa
ch
velocit
y
within
the
unit so
that it
remain
s a
consta
nt 4
mm/s.

If large amounts of head loss occur, the foam layer in the filter column will collapse and performance of our filtration unit will suffer. After water flows through the filtration column, chlorination dosing will occur at . 1125 mg/L. This chlorination dose is based on calculations

that
downs
caled
the
dosing
that
current
ly
occurs
in
AguaC
lara
plants
to fit
our
point-
of-use
unit
design.
See
Reflect
ion
Report
1 for
more
inform
ation
and
further
explan
ation.
Mixing
will
take
place
by
inserti
ng
elbows
into
the
tubing,
and
the
treated
water
should
flow
into a
holdin

g,
distrib
ution
tank
(see
Figure
s 2 and




Figure 2. Overall schematic if water distribution system is available.

er
3).

Our
group
created
a
MathC
AD
file
takes
user
input
of
approa
ch
velocit
y,
numbe
r of
people
expect
ed to
use
the
filter,
as
well
as
amoun
t of
water
used
per
person
per
day
and
outputs
the
require
d filter
colum
n
diamet
er
(and co
rrespo
nding f
oam
diamet
er)
needed

to
achiev
e
filtrati
on of
the spe
cified
amoun
t of
water.
The
progra
m
then
iterates
throug
h tube
diamet
ers
availab
le for
purcha
se and
outputs
the
nearest
larger
diamet
er that
will
actuall
y be
needed
. O rifi
ce
sizing
for
inlet
and
exit
tubing
is then
calcula
ted as
well.
For
exampl
e, a
small
village

of 200
people
using
3 L
/day
will
require
a
column
n
diamet
er of 2
inches
and
orifice
sizing
of 2.6
mm.

The first experiment completed this semester was a head loss experiment. We were able to determine the head loss through our filter column (see Table 2).

Table 2. Head loss through 10 inches of foam at 4 mm/s

	Experiment One	Experiment Two
60 ppi	2.1 cm	1.9 cm
90 ppi	2.8 cm	2.6 cm

We were also able to create another MathCAD file that could calculate theoretical head loss through any filter unit design given filter column diameter and length as well as tubing diameter and length (including the number of 90 degree elbows). The algorithm supports our experimental results.




Figure 4. 60 ppi foam at 10 inch depth with incorrect alum

After the head loss experiment was successfully administered and all of the glitches within our experimental system were eliminated, we began running experiments to determine filtration performance using a 4 mm/s approach velocity. First we experimented with 60 ppi (pores per inch) foam to determine head loss at failure. Most of our experiments were ruined because of the introduction of air pockets in our column. Apparently, experimentation during winter months is extremely hard due to dissolved air within the water. Hence, we were never able to determine the head loss at failure, but instead were able to get sufficient data showing the correlation between head loss over time (see Figure 4). The data shows an apparent linear relationship between the time that the experiment is running and head loss accumulation. This trend will be helpful in

determining an estimate for how long our experiments can successfully run before failure.

However, these experiments with 60 ppi foam cannot be compared to previously obtained results over the summer because we discovered that the alum dose we were using had not been adjusted correctly. Over the past summer, we ran experiments using an alum dose of 1.5 g/L with 6 mm/s water velocity. For our first few experiments this semester, we used the same alum stock concentration, but with a lower flow rate, which resulted in a higher alum dose. This is what led to the lower effluent turbidities. This error was fixed so that results from summer 2010 could be compared with those from the fall.

We have not yet run an experiment with the correct alum dose with 60 ppi foam. However, we were able to run one experiment using the correct alum dose that was targeted toward discovering layering effects with different pore per inch foam. We layered the foam using two one-inch thick slices of 30 ppi foam at the top, five one-inch thick slices of 60 ppi foam in the middle, and three one-inch thick 90 ppi foam at the bottom. From experience, we know that larger pore sizes should be located at the top of the filter and smaller pore sizes should be located at the bottom of the filter to avoid clogging and ensure depth filtration occurs.

The layered experiment shows promising results (see Figure 5). The effluent turbidity remained under 0.3 NTU for approximately one day and could have possibly remained under EPA standards for a longer period of time. Unfortunately, our experiment was cut short due to a mass power-outage on Cornell campus.

Therefore, we can not compare these results to the summer's 90 ppi trials as of yet. Nevertheless, the use of both 60 ppi foam and layered foam show great potential to be successful within our designed filtration unit.




Figure 5. Layered foam at 10 inch depth with correct alum

Future Work

Next semester, the foam filtration team will need to continue running experiments. Due to experimental apparatus issues throughout the semester, we were not able to run all of the experiments we planned. First, the team will need to run an experiment until collapse occurs and get a head loss reading. The team had trouble

completing this experiment because dissolved air in the water would build up over the course of the experiment (60 hours). This will not be an issue in warmer weather, and the experiment can be more easily completed in the spring of 2011.

Another set of experiments that must be run is the layering of different pore sizes of foam. We hope that by varying pore size between 60, 90, and 30 ppi, we can lower effluent turbidities and increase run times. Smaller pore sizes (larger porosities) would be on the top and the larger pore sizes will be on the bottom. The optimal depth of each pore size is what must be determined. Since effluent turbidities are extremely low and turbidimeter readings are only accurate above 0.2 NTU, the main way we will compare layering alternatives will be to compare the length of the run time in which effluent turbidities are below a set level (1 NTU or 0.3 NTU).

Additionally, the foam filtration team will need to investigate the effects of varying the influent turbidity. Previous work was conducted using 5 NTU water, which corresponds to the effluent for an AguaClara plant. However, now that the team is focusing on designing a point of use system, it will be important to know the range of turbidities for which the filter can produce clean water. This will be necessary for providing instructions for people using the filter. Experiments will gradually increase influent turbidities and we will see at which influent turbidity the foam filter can no longer produce an effluent turbidity less than 0.3 NTU or the run time is less than 24 hours. With turbidities at this failure level, the filter will no longer function in a way that is convenient or safe for the user.

In addition to experimentation, the team will also need to continue work on the design of the point of use filtration unit. Preliminary designs have been developed, but they need to be improved. Additionally, we want to build a prototype and run tests on that to ensure that the unit is running properly. Important things to consider in the design are delivering a constant flow rate through the foam and that the unit is easy to operate and clean.

Team Reflections

At the beginning of this semester, our team had trouble with assembling the experimental apparatus from scratch. We also faced several problems with writing the process control file needed to automate our experiments (see Reflection Report #2). Because of the initial problems we faced with the experimental apparatus, our team decided to focus our efforts on the design aspects of the project, as well as applying for project funding through the EPA's P3 competition. Although we were initially unable to gather physical data, a lot had been accomplished in our design work, allowing us to further develop our ideas for the project.

During the assembly of our experimental apparatus, we faced minor technical challenges, including problems from Process Controllers, computer wiring and communication, and turbidity meters (see Reflection Report s #2 and 3). Despite this, we were still able to perform head

loss
experi
ments
and
run
initial
trials
with
the
apparatus. Ever
since
the
experimental
apparatus had
been
finalized, the
team
became very
focused on
experimental
work.
Our
team
was
able to
collect
a large
amount
of
data
on
head
loss
through the
foam
over
time,
but we
cannot
be
entirely

y
confid
ent in
the
data
becaus
e of
proble
ms
experie
nced
with
the
experi
mental
apparatus. W
e
found
that
the
experi
ments
were
interru
pted
due to
the
closing
of
water
valves
during
run
time
and
also
due to
air
enterin
g the
filter
column
as a
result
of
dissolv
ed air
within
the

water
source
(see
Reflection
Report
#5). Though
we
have
obtained
useful
data,
much
more
work
needs
to be
done
next
semester. We
are
hopeful that
the
time
and
effort
spent
in
finalizing
our
experimental
apparatus will
pay
off in
future
semesters,
allowing us
to
collect
experimental
data

without
any
persistent
problems.