

CORNELL ENGINEERING COLLEGE COUNCIL INDUSTRY ENGAGEMENT TASK FORCE

OCTOBER 25, 2019

CornellEngineering



INDUSTRY ALLIANCE TASK FORCE

PURPOSE: Provide input to Dean Collins and the Cornell College of Engineering on ways to increase research funding from Corporations and the Private sector

Three Sub teams:

Cornell Existing Practices: Elissa Sterry, Alec Gallimore, Dmitri Shklovsky, Molly Tschang

Industry Perspective: Lisa Walker, John Balen, Deb Kemper, James Hale, Avi Mehrotra, Sam Ramos

Peer University Practices: Bill LaFontaine, Tony Satterthwaite, Howard Morgan, David Perez, Ken Goldman

Data Collected via phone interviews and visits

Initial findings and recommendations complete

Conference call with Dean Collins for more in-depth discussion

FINDINGS- FROM FACULTY

- The faculty embraces Cornell's fundamental research identity but desires more corporate engagement
- Improving culture for corporate engagement
 - Gone from "hostile" to "friendly clueless"
- Corporate engagement remains a major challenge
 - Issues with IP, support for faculty-industry interactions
 - Lack of visibility, incentives, messaging from the top
- Ithaca seen as a barrier to some- Cornell Tech underutilized for the Ithaca campus

RECOMMENDATIONS- FROM FACULTY

- Creation of a centralized department/center across Cornell Engineering with the sole purpose of engaging with industry
 - ❑ Collaboration and exchange of ideas among faculty on their individual work with industry
 - ❑ One stop shop for all incoming and outgoing communications with industry
 - ❑ Educate industrial partners on CU capabilities
 - ❑ “CRM” system to track relationships with companies that creates sustainability
 - ❑ Being proactive in identifying industry trends, matching them up with CU capabilities and proactively reaching out and seeking projects
 - ❑ Cornell Tech should be part of the process due to its location
- Needs to be a mechanism in place to be able to incentivize and evaluate faculty on a regular basis on their collaboration with industry
 - ❑ Encourage each Department to establish a goal for industrial relevance
- Keep encouraging the IP department to be as flexible as possible

STRENGTHS- FROM INDUSTRY

- Engineering departments are held in high esteem and students are highly regarded
 - Students are energetic, smart & hard working; great hires
- Viewed as a top engineering program: “...best in the Ivies”
- Recruiting at Cornell a priority – the students perform well at their companies
 - The opportunity to strengthen a company’s presence through supporting R&D is viewed as an asset to assist with recruiting
- Faculty, PhD & MENG sponsored projects are successfully providing benefits and augmenting corporate R&D efforts, including new products
 - Once engaged, everyone provides high value
- It has been getting easier to work through IP issues with Cornell as compared to the past
 - Pleased to see renewed focus on IP
 - IP not always a stumbling block but can be too time consuming while accommodating each partner’s legal process

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT- FROM INDUSTRY

- MIT, Berkeley, Penn State and Purdue are generally viewed as embracing more corporate friendly practices
- MENG students may need more faculty assistance and guidance so they are not “Lone Rangers” with corporate relationships
- Difficult to easily navigate areas of Cornell’s expertise for potential opportunities and synergies
 - Unless you are deeply familiar with Cornell, it is unclear where the areas of expertise and research initiatives reside
 - Companies navigate the internet to find Cornell synergies/opportunities which can be time consuming and ineffective
 - Corporate technology fair and symposium invitations have been ignored by Cornell while other universities send multiple representatives
- No single relationship contact between Cornell and Companies to “open doors”, learn about each other or invest for the long term
 - PR and marketing can be stronger and far more visible
 - Help to provide a 360° view of Cornell by embracing all corporate touch points (research, administration, faculty, students & alumni)
- Professors are not naturally outward focused especially with corporate relationships
 - Proactively embracing potential corporate networking opportunities would be very helpful
 - Adopting a more business professional versus academic mindset would be very helpful when working with corporate partners
- Avoid being mired on the “wrongs & failures of the past” and embrace the potential of future opportunities
- Location can be a challenge – it is harder to conduct joint reviews, build relationships in Ithaca than other urban campuses so leverage Cornell Tech whenever possible
- Cornell is “hunting rabbits, not elephants,” e.g. too many smaller, one off projects, versus multi-year, \$100,000+ relationships

RECOMMENDATIONS- FROM INDUSTRY

PROCESSES

- Learn from and implement the benchmarked corporate research best practices as compare against our own practices
- Update strategic plan placing a higher priority for corporate partnering

RESEARCH

- Adopt a business development & application engineering mindset toward business partner activities to make interactions more effective and not over burden both faculty and partners
- Re-evaluate the culture surrounding corporate research partner opportunities vs the government research mindset

AWARENESS & ACCESSIBILITY

- Adequately staff and resource corporate relationship office while encouraging a proactive, business savvy culture
 - Consider opening a business reception center to cornerstone activities and interactions
- Document, organize and market research areas of expertise for more efficient partner discovery and navigation
 - Significantly increase marketing and communications
- Identify corporate partners that are ideally suited for current research activities
 - Proactively target these companies with technical capability
 - Understand geographic challenge and proactively target companies is similar situation or with ease to Cornell
 - Invest in the relationship (versus the project)

FINDINGS- BENCHMARKING PEER INSTITUTIONS (Influenced by Georgia Tech, Michigan, MIT, Notre Dame, Purdue)

- Long standing organizations managing day to day corporate engagement (project selection, faculty engagement) are essential for long term success.
- Streamlined frameworks (contracts/programs) yield quick turnarounds & corporate satisfaction
- Industrial spend at Engineering Colleges range from tens of millions to hundreds of million / annum.
- Small number of Industry Partners drive large fraction of spending. Industry partners are looking for different things – segmenting and targeting is necessary.
- Successful institutions have facilities and time (meeting, demo, teaching space) for University – Industry interactions.
- Programs for educating / encouraging faculty to engage industry starts with early career engagements and continues over time.

RECOMMENDATIONS- BENCHMARKING PEER INSTITUTIONS

- Build out Office for Industrial Relations
 - Focus on Faculty participation & opportunity management
- University Wide – Industry Engagement Center
 - Essential for long term interactions & cross University relationships.
- Set income objective of Michigan-like industrial income streams
 - Several years to steady-state performance.